

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,714	01/23/2004	Daniel Chien	60130-1495; 02MRA0344	7325
26096 75	590 09/08/2004		EXAM	INER
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			KRAMER, DEVON C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/763,714	CHIEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Devon C Kramer	3683 <i>W</i>				
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2004  Applicant may not request that any objection  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the company of the	is/are: a)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  Iments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	48) Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/763,714

Art Unit: 3683

# **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

# **Drawings**

1) The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 34, 36, 38, 48, 50, 62, 68, and 70. Corrected drawing sheets, or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3) Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "said actuator" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,714 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5) Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Attinger (CH 663387).

In re claims 1 and 13, Attinger provides an axle assembly (figure 1) for a vehicle comprising, an axle shaft (3) mounted for rotation within a housing (7), a hub (2) fixed to a first end of said axle shaft, and a brake assembly (11) mounted to said shaft and spaced a distance from said hub, such that said brake assembly is outside a wheel mountable to said hub.

IN re claim 2, it is inherent that the a portion of the wheel mountable to the hub extends a distance along an axis of rotation of the axle shaft and the distance from the hub is greater than the distance the wheel extends along the axis of rotation.

In re claim 3, the figure shows two hubs (2) attaching to vehicle wheels.

In re claim 4 and 14, see elements 11, and 14.

IN re claim 5, applicant does not specifically state where the second end of the shaft is located, therefor the place where the rotor in Attinger is mounted can be considered a second end.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,714

Art Unit: 3683

In re claim 6, the brake of Attinger is placed on a rail vehicle. Rail vehicles are notorious for having pneumatic brakes.

6) Claims 1-5, 7-10 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inoue et al (2002/0028721).

In re claims 1 and 13, Inoue et al provides an axle assembly (figure 2) for a vehicle comprising, an axle shaft (8) mounted for rotation within a housing (80), a hub fixed to a first end of said axle shaft, and a brake assembly (22) mounted to said shaft and spaced a distance from said hub, such that said brake assembly is outside a wheel mountable to said hub.

IN re claim 2, it is inherent that the a portion of the wheel mountable to the hub extends a distance along an axis of rotation of the axle shaft and the distance from the hub is greater than the distance the wheel extends along the axis of rotation.

In re claim 3, figure 2 shows two hubs attaching to vehicle wheels.

In re claim 4 and 14, see elements 91 and 93.

IN re claim 5, applicant does not specifically state where the second end of the shaft is located, therefor the place where the rotor in Inoue et al is mounted can be considered a second end.

IN re claim 7, Inoue et al provides a hydraulic actuator.

In re claims 8 and 15, see figure 2, and element 110.

IN re claims 9 and 16, the bearings used in figure 2 are notorious for operating as claimed.

Art Unit: 3683

In re claims 10 and 17, please note the seal depicted in figure 8, adjacent element 110.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8) Claims 11-12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Attinger (CH 663387) or Inoue et al (2002/0028721) in view of Seki (2003/0136613).

Both Attinger and Inoue et al are silent to the suspension assembly.

Seki teaches an arrangement where a housing is connected to a suspension assembly (figure 5) where a suspension arm (26) is pivotally mounted to a frame (3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the axle assemblies of Attinger or Inoue et al with the suspension assembly as taught by Seki merely to provide the vehicle with a suspension to improve the ride for a driver, and to make the vehicle more safe.

#### Conclusion

9) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Watanabe et al, Klaue, JP 3197290, JP 55107132, Morin, and DE 19536095 all provide axle housings with brake discs attached to the axles.

Art Unit: 3683

10) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C Kramer whose telephone number is 703-305-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DK

Q-7.04